

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/803,543	STEWART, ROGER G.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jeffrey T. Barton	1795	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Pending

 (1) Jeffrey T. Barton.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Patrick Reilly.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 10 October 2007
**Time:** 12 pm
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner requested oral election between Species A-C, and Mr. Reilly elected species A without traverse, but wished to specify that this should not imply any prejudice against filing continuation and/or divisional applications involving the nonelected species..